Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,020	ISOBE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NEIL TURK	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>NEIL TURK</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gene Stockman (applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2009</u>	Time: <u>11:00am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 16		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A <sub>l</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/676,020

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed possible amendments to be made to independent claim 16 so as to place the claim in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to adding such amendments by way of an Examiner's amendment. Examiner also discussed that an additional drawings sheet would need to be submitted so as to properly show the claimed elements, most notably the measuring means for measuring. Examiner discussed that a block diagram of the structural elements of the device would suffice to properly show all the claimed elements. Applicant's representative agreed to submit such an additional drawings sheet.